



# ECOSSE – a globally applicable model of carbon and nitrogen dynamics in soil?

Aitkenhead, M.J., Foereid, B., Smith, J.U., Smith, P.







### Background

- QUEST
  - Quantifying and Understanding the Earth System
  - A NERC initiative designed to improve our understanding of the large-scale processes taking place on the Earth

#### QUERCC

- QUantifying Ecosystem Roles in the Carbon Cycle
- focussed on the land surface processes
- aimed primarily at improving our understanding of the carbon cycle

#### ECOSSE

- Provides the soil modelling component, to be linked to oceans/atmosphere
- Includes soil nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>4</sub>) and carbon (CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, DOC)
- Modular structure, incorporating multiple processes and soil characteristics
- Uses predefined vegetation and soil 'types' to simulate biomes

### **ECOSSE** design

- Designed to be applied to mineral and organic soils
- Works well with agricultural & grassland, yet to implement forestry & other land cover types
- Limited success with organic soils
- Aim is to get ECOSSE to work for all major biome types
  - Tropical/temperate/arctic climates
  - Forest/arable/grassland/moorland vegetation types
  - Multiple management strategies





### **ECOSSE** design

- Modular design
  - Processes can be switched on/off
  - Different models of the same process can be run
- Soil composed of 5cm layers
- Input files set soil up, runs for several years to stabilise soil
- Processes are limited by specific factors (e.g. pH, water, temperature, nutrient supply)
- Runs daily, weekly or monthly
- Automated creation of setup files from spreadsheet / macro / executable combo
- Working on GUI to make it more easy/accessible to run

### **Components & subroutines**

- 1. SUNDIAL/MAGEC modularised
- 2a.  $N_2O$  production
- 2b. Layering of soil profile
- 3. N<sub>2</sub>O production & layering
- 4a. SOM initialised by equilibrium run to measured soil C
- 4b. pH effect on stable C:N ratio
- 4c. pH effect on rate constant
- 4d. pH effect on stable C:N and rate constant
- 5. ECOSSE3 + SOM initialised & pH effect
- 6a. Water table input
- 6b. Physical mixing on ploughing
- 6c. Freeze/thaw effect
- 7. ECOSSE5 + water table input, physical mixing & freeze/thaw
- 8a. Physical protection of SOM
- 8b. Layering of soil parameters
- 9. ECOSSE7 + physical protection & layered soil parameters

#### Carbon Component of Organic Soils Model

EXTERNAL

- Dynamic model (eg. BIOTA)
- Static model (eg. MIAMI)
- Satellite estimates



#### Nitrogen Component of Organic Soils Model

#### EXTERNAL

- Dynamic model (eg. BIOTA)
- Static model (eg. MIAMI)
- Satellite estimates





### ECOSSE walkthrough

Initialisation of variables & arrays

Creation of output files

Reads data from input files

Enter loop for each year

Set crop & fertiliser parameters for that year

Enter loop for each day/week/month

Add fertiliser

Calculate dry deposition, mineralisation, nitrification, denitrification, DOC production

Grow crop (crop debris, sow new crops, N & C turnover)

Calculate water movement (leaching, movement between layers, evapotranspiration)

Output results

End day/week/month loop

End year loop

### Study areas – locations



### Inputs required

#### • Soil

- C:N ratios of biomass pools
- Decomposition rates between pools
- Total biomass content of soil
- Sand, silt, clay content
- рН
- Vegetation
  - Yield
  - N uptake
  - N content of roots, tops
  - C, N return in litter
  - Decomposition rate of litter
  - Senescence period,



### Inputs required

#### Climate

- Rainfall
- Evapotranspiration
- Air temperature
- Solar radiation
- Max/min temp
- Vapour pressure
- N deposition

#### Management

- Land use history (grassland/arable/forest)
- Crop type
- Sowing/harvesting dates
- Fertiliser application information
  - Date of application
  - % nitrate
  - % ammonia
  - Type of manure application





### Ammonium

- NH<sub>4</sub> created by mineralisation during decomposition of biomass (into other biomass pools, CO<sub>2</sub> & NH<sub>4</sub>)
- Decomposition controlled by Q10 relationships

$$-\Delta \mathbf{C} = -\mathbf{k}\mathbf{Q}_{10}^{(T/10)}\mathbf{C}_{\text{pool}}\Delta t$$

- Mineralisation controlled by various limiting factors (water, temperature, microbial activity etc.)
- NH<sub>4</sub> loss through nitrification calculated from Bradbury et al. (1987)

170

$$N_{n} = NH_{4} \times \exp\left(-0.6 \times m_{temp} \times m'_{water}\right) \qquad \qquad m_{temp} = \frac{17.5}{\left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{106}{(T + 18.3)}\right)\right)}$$
$$m'_{water} = \left(1 - \frac{\left(0.8 \times \left(W_{\max} - W - d_{(-1bar)}\right)\right)}{\left(W_{\max} - d_{(-1bar)}\right)}\right) \times m_{anaer} \qquad \qquad m_{anaer} = \left(1 - W + \frac{\left(0.9 \times W_{\max}\right)}{W_{\max} - \left(0.9 \times W_{\max}\right)}\right)$$

### $N_2O$

 Partitioning of N into N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> based on soil water and nitrate content



### Simulation outputs

Tulloch (N Scotland)



### Field trials

We have data from:

- Sweden (Regina et al., 2004)
- N Germany (McVoy et al., 1995)
- Scotland (Skiba, pers. comm.)
- Wales (Skiba, pers. comm.)
- England (Macdonald et al., 1997)



Pete Smith, Ullapool, Scotland

We will have data from:

- Wales & England again (CEH)
- Siberia
- Great Plains USA
- Amazonia, Brazil
- Sub-Saharan Africa



Ute Skiba, Hafren, Wales

### Comparison with field trials



Barley N2O - Regina



Obergurgl model



## Ongoing work

- Methane
- Spatially explicit modelling
- Improved non-agricultural vegetation implementation
- Spatially explicit version
- Data acquisition from different biomes
- Moving away from cropping model
- Development of functional type database
  - Soils
  - Vegetation
  - Climate







### **Questions?**

- Bradbury, N.J., Whitmore, A.P., Hart P.B.S. & Jenkinson, D.S., 1993. Modelling the fate of nitrogen in crop and soil in the years following application of 15N-labelled fertilizer to winter wheat. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge, 121, 363-379.
- Regina, K., Syväsalo, E., Hannukkala, A., Esala, M., 2004. Fluxes of N2O from farmed peat soils in Finland. European Journal of Soil Science 55, 591-599.
- McVoy, C.W., Kersebaum, K.C., Arning, M., Kleeberg, P., Othmer, H., Schröder, U., 1995. A dataset from North Germany for the validation of agroecosystem models: documentation and evaluation. Ecological Modelling 81, 265-300.
- Skiba, U., 2006. Field trial data. Pers. comm.
- Macdonald, A.J., Poulton, P.R., Powlson, D.S., Jenkinson, D.S., 1997. Effects of season, soil type and cropping on recoveries, residues and losses on <sup>15</sup>N labelled fertilizer applied to arable crops in spring. Journal of Agricultural Science 129, 125-154.
- Evans, C.D., Monteith, D.T., Cooper, D.M., 2005. Long-term increases in surface water dissolved organic carbon: Observations, possible causes and environmental impacts. Environmental Pollution 137, 55-71.
- Smith, P., Smith, J.U., Powlson, D.S., McGill, W.B., Arah, J.R.M., Chertov, O.G., Coleman, K., Franko, U., Frolking, S., Jenkinson, D.S., Jensen, L.S., Kelly, R.H., Klein-Gunnewiek, H., Komarov, A.S., Li, C., Molina, J.A.E., Mueller, T., Parton, W.J., Thornley, J.H.M., Whitmore, A.P. A comparison of the performance of nine soil organic matter models using datasets from seven long-term experiments. Geoderma 81, 153-225.

This work is funded by NERC grant NE/C516160/1